

Meeting Evaluations  
Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
Interaction and discussion with individual members of the development, operations and districts understanding and learning about issues facing the development / ops team.	I would suggest that we continue to meet at the Majestic Mountain Inn depending on the size of the group. The facilities and atmosphere are excellent. If the size of the group attending remains about the same, the facility will work.	4	The change to having to presentations projected on the wall was helpful to see the slides. The smaller tables helped to provide more room. I would suggest that the classroom style setup be tried. It events people being back to back as well as having to turn to see the presentation slides.
Communication with all areas of Ops and Development. Dinner together was very good.	Keep Payson as meeting site.	4	Great meeting – good information – great progress on this partnership.
Status report updates from teams.		4	Dinner was excellent. A larger conference meeting room would make presentations easier and more comfortable for attendees.
Opportunity to meet and discuss issues face to face and presentation of progress on past issues.		3	
Solving process issues, working together, getting together after work.	Location (Payson) Is Great! BBQ Dinner & Burrito Breakfast - Great! Conference Room – not so great.	3.5	It would be nice to have everyone be able to stay in the same hotel. Thanks to Management for the plaque!!

Meeting Evaluations  
 Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
 June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
As always, just being a part of the discussion is the most valuable. Networking during breaks and dinner is helpful.	A larger facility would encourage more interaction, participation, and networking. Lets find a bigger place for next year. Also allowing enough time on the agenda for more general discussion, round table, etc – would be useful.	4	
Talking with people I'm not regularly in contact with. Hearing other people concerns, not getting kick to the other motel. Ending on time (or early).	Bigger room- Better layout. The "people on both sides of the table" arrangement gives us a stiff neck. Don't run out of ice so often, Dinner was great, Organizers did a great job with the limited budget.	3.5	
Being able to work together with so many folks. Great networking. Hearing what senior leaderships has to say.	A larger meeting room. Room was a bit warm in the afternoon.	3.5	Great meeting.
			A better meeting room has to be found - the room is just to uncomfortable which detracts from the meeting. The room is too small regardless of the seating arrangement. I was on side with seating on both sides of table and people were trapped.

Meeting Evaluations  
Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup>2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
Dialog with other partners.	Better facility for meeting – The Majestic Mountain Inn is great with respect to rooms and grounds, but the meeting room is not adequate. It is hard to move around in the meeting room. To many agenda items - not enough time to adequately discuss issues.	3	
Interaction and dialogue with development and operations and group managers.	Larger meeting room.	4	
	Larger room with round tables. Suggest High School Cafeteria.		
Leadership presentations, social time was valuable.	Keep up the good work.	4	
Work group updates. Good sense of humor accepted by all.		3	Rooms, snacks, meals were great! Conference room is small, would be helpful to have larger facility. I sat on the south side- very difficult to keep from bumping into the person behind me. Felt very confined.
Seeing everyone and developing relationships.	More discussion time after the presentations.	4	

Meeting Evaluations  
Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
To get update information about the issues from different areas. To understand the ITD version in the future.	To find a larger conference room but in the same area or closer.		It is an excellent meeting for ITD staff to get together to communicate and discuss issues.
The team reports and having two projectors.	Rom was too small. Need more room for people.	3	Overall this group has made a lot of progress over the years even as membership has changed. Should continue into the future.
Updates on team activities and time to interact with other Group Managers, networking time to discuss current and future issues that we need to work together and to solve.	Excellent format – works well.	4	Thank you – well done!
Having time to visit with my counterparts from other Districts. That is sometimes difficult with the normal day – to – day activities.	The meeting room was a bit cramped, but workable.	4	Overall – very good meeting, I look forward to attending many more.
Open communications.	A new room for the meeting.	3	
Conversation and shop talk around pool after meals.	Get a bigger meeting room with more than one restroom.	4	Thanks for the opportunity to rub shoulders with great people.

Meeting Evaluations  
Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
Meeting and visiting with other ADOT leaders in a non-work setting. Getting a better understanding of how my work, reporting, etc. affects other ADOT staff/functions.	Start 1 <sup>st</sup> session with lunch or refreshments at noon so afternoon is not so rushed.	4	Very nice. Although the room was crowded, I don't feel it was a detriment to the meeting. In fact, it provided some "comic relief" at times.
Away from busy routines and focus on Department wide issues.	Get a bigger conference room.	4	
Having time to converse with my fellow ADOT team members.	Report out could be in paper format and spend time discussing needed actions.	4	It would be great to have or create a fun activity that would somehow encompass the meeting purpose.
Communication, everyone coming together in the same places – face to face.	Larger meeting room, don't recommend room at the Best Western – they are not very secure.	3.5	Good meeting, we will miss Ginger! Sam Elters did a great job moderating/facilitating.
Good opportunity to touch basis on issues.	Table arrangement. One sided seating works better – classroom style is good.	4	Once per year is good. If we hold in Payson next year, take a look at Best Western meeting rooms. Hotel accommodations were good - Best Western.
Team updates – motel works ok	More work in groups looking @ issues. Reports could be in a paper giving more time for group work.		Good meeting.

Meeting Evaluations  
Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
The updates on all the sub teams. Must continue to support the emphasis and importance of the SATS program.		4	Facilities were very good we should continue to have meeting here.
Keeping meeting out of Phoenix.	Presenters keeping presentation material summarized properly.	4	One of our better meetings! Sad to see Ginger leaving!
Updates by SEO and Sub-Committee. The dinner interaction provides an excellent opportunity to get to know each other and discuss issues.	Might be helpful to have a list of issues that have been addressed in past. There are now members that may not be aware of the old issues.	4	
Group interaction and informal discussions.	Better visuals.	3	Single sided table set up worked well.
Hearing about the different improvement efforts within the Department. Interacting with other leaders throughout ADOT.	Better facility – is something available in Prescott.	3	
The teams should have a few more updates prior to Development and Operations meeting.			
Get out of the office. Opportunity to visit with everyone.	Allow more time for discussion of new items.	4	Excellent location, great meeting, Partnerships is maturing very nicely, meeting well organized.

Meeting Evaluations  
Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
Interaction with others, learned new information on public relation consortiums, Shannon, Prop 400 projects – Bill H.	Larger meeting room, enough rooms at the Inn for all. Keep all Presenters within allotted time and like you did this time – allot 10 minutes max.	3	The “Issue Table” format is somewhat self-perpetuating. Suggest keeping the format, but prioritize and choose no more than 3 issues to resolve per meeting. Maybe there a lot of teams already in place. Presentations were well done and mostly timely.
What is new or different?	More elbow room and leg room! Better prepared presentations that truly reflected progress within past year	3	
	The size of and layout of meeting room is only negative, all other aspects are top notch. Meeting is run so well.	4	
Communications / interaction with peers. Team building and bonding exercises. Reinforcement of agency goals, individual responsibilities.	Selection of a new facility that retains the cozy environment but also provides sufficient accommodation.	4	Better PA system. Power Point computer presentations should use a transponder rather than manual advancement of slides. WE have the technical expertise and hardware.

Meeting Evaluations  
 Operations/Development Partnership Meeting  
 June 20<sup>th</sup> & June 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

---

What was most valuable for you?	What would you suggest that would help future meetings be more successful?	Overall Rating of the meeting?	Comments
Offsite gathering of ITD/CCP Leadership provides better interaction than a meeting in Phoenix. Good interaction of camaraderie	Better meeting room, but Majestic facilities great otherwise. Allow up to 15 minutes for presentations 8 minutes is often too short for good presentation of very technical information and discussion.	4	Thanks Ginger, we are successful mostly due to your organizational skills.
Meeting other leadership folks within ITD. Having the chance to chat on issues of concerns. Table group's issues were very successful.	Need a bigger room. Awkward for presenters, screens on 2 sides. Would have been helpful for presenters to view a laptop in the center of the room.	3	Great dinner. Majestic Mountain is a great hotel. Meeting room was too small. If you leave Payson, I'd recommend the Desert Outdoor Center.

<b><u>Total # of Evaluations = 41</u></b>	<b><u>Total # of Evaluations that gave Overall Rating = 35</u></b>	<b><u>Overall Rating of Meeting = 3.67</u></b>
---	--	--